EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER DOCKET NO.: 2007-1686-PST-E TCEQ ID: RN101828002 CASE NO.: 34820

RESPONDENT NAME: JOE MACIAS

ORDER TYPE:					
_1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING			
X FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER			
AMENDED ORDEREMERGENCY ORDER					
CASE TYPE:					
AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE			
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	X PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION			
WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL			
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION			
SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: 518 Madison, Sagerton, Haskell County, Texas TYPE OF OPERATION: former gas station with inactive underground storage tanks SMALL BUSINESS: X Yes No OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location. INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter. COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Register comment period expired on October 20, 2008. No comments were received. CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST: TCEQ Attorney: Mr. Rudy Calderon. Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-0205 Ms. Lena Roberts, Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-0119 TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Mr. Rajesh Acharya, Waste Enforcement Section, MC 128, (512) 239-0577 TCEQ Regional Contact: Mr. Mike Taylor, Abilene Regional Office, MC R-4, (325) 698-6125 Respondent: Mr. Joe Macias, Owner, 193 Grant Ave., Sagerton, Texas 79548-2803					
•	sented by counsel on this enforcement matter.				

RESPONDENT NAME: JOE MACIAS DOCKET NO.: 2007-1686-PST-E

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART		
VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
Type of Investigation:	Total Assessed: \$7,875	Technical Requirements
Complaint Routine Enforcement Follow-up X Records Review	Total Deferred: \$0 Expedited Settlement Financial Inability to Pay SEP Conditional Offset	The Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements: 1. Within 30 days, permanently remove the UST system from service, in accordance with 30
Date of Complaint Relating to this Case: None	Total Due to General Revenue: \$7,875	Tex. Admin. Code § 334.55; and
Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: September 12, 2007	This is a Default Order. The Respondent has not actually paid any of the assessed penalty but will be required to do so under the terms of this proposed Order.	Within 45 days, submit written certification to demonstrate compliance with the Ordering Provision above.
Date of NOE Relating to this Case: October 4, 2007	Site Compliance History Classification High X Average Poor	
Background Facts: The EDPRP was filed February 5, 2008, and mailed to the Respondent via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid. According to the return receipt "green card," the Respondent received notice of the EDPRP on February 12, 2008. The Respondent failed to answer the EDPRP, failed to request a hearing, and failed to schedule a settlement conference.	High _X_ Average Poor Person Compliance History Classification High _X_ Average Poor Major Source: Yes _X_ No Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002	
Current Compliance Status:		
Not yet in compliance.	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
PST: Failed to permanently remove from service, no later than 60 days after the prescribed upgrade implementation date, three USTs for which any applicable component of the system is not brought into timely compliance with the upgrade requirements. [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.47(a)(2)].		
		•

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision November 15, 2006 Assigned 8-Oct-2007 PCW 12-Oct-2007 Screening 12-Oct-2007 RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent Joe Macias Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN101828002 Facility/Site Region 3-Abilene Major/Minor Source Minor CASE INFORMATION Enf./Case ID No. 34820 Docket No. 2007-1686-PST-E No. of Violations 1 Order Type 1660 Enf. Coordinator Rajesh Acharya EC's Team EnforcementTeam 6 Media Program(s) Petroleum Storage Tank Multi-Media Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum \$10,000 Maximum

	Penalty Calculation Section	inervo.
TOTAL BASE PENAL	TY (Sum of violation base penalties) Subtotal 1	\$7,500
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) Subtotals 2-7 are obtained	ed by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.	
	ry 5% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7	\$375
Notes	Enhancement for one NOV with same or similar violations.	
Culpability	No 0% Enhancement Subtotal 4	\$0
Notes	The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.	
Good Faith Effort	to Comply 0% Reduction Subtotal 5	\$0
Extraordinary Ordinary	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
N/A	X (mark with x)	
Notes	The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria.	
	Total EB Amounts \$4,711 *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount ost of Compliance \$10,000	\$0
SUM OF SUBTOTALS	1-7	\$7,875
OTHER FACTORS AS	S JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE blotal by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g30 for -30%.)	\$0
Notes		
<u></u>	Final Penalty Amount	\$7,875
STATUTORY LIMIT A	DJUSTMENT Final Assessed Penalty	\$7,875
DEFERRAL Reduces the Final Assessed Pena	Ity by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)	\$0
Notes	Deferral not offered for non-expedited settlement.	
PAYABI E PENALTY		\$7,875

Screening Date 12-Oct-2007

Docket No. 2007-1686-PST-E

PCW

Respondent Joe Macias

Case ID No. 34820

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision November 15, 2006

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101828002

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Rajesh Acharya

Compliance History Worksheet

Component		Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	1	5%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission		0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)		0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government		0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	1	- 0%.
,	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
	Plea	se Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
Out of	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
	Adjustment F		btotal 2)
at Violator (Sເ	abtotal 3) And		
No	Adjustment F	ercentage (Su	btotal 3)
oliance Histor	y Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		Program
Average P	Performer Adjustment F	ercentage (Su	btotal 7)
oliance Histor	y Summary PET TOTAL ALL TRANSPORT		
Compliance History Notes	Enhancement for one NOV with same or similar violations.		
4	Total Adjustment Percentage	(Subtotale 2	2 8 71

Screening Date	12-Oct-2007	Doo	ket No. 2007-1686-PST-E	PCW
Respondent	Joe Macias		Policy Re	evision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.			PCW R	evision November 15, 2006
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN101828002			
	Petroleum Storage Tar	nk .		
Enf. Coordinator	Rajesh Acharya			
Violation Number	1			
Rule Cite(s)		30 Tex. Admin. Co	ode § 334.47(a)(2)	
Violation Description	upgrade implementat	ion date, three USTs	e, no later than 60 days after the prescribed for which any applicable component of the bliance with the upgrade requirements.	
-			Base Penalty	\$10,000
	y magazy michaga kemingaga gaga yan jago minin aga sangoh mamine ni gili amin gahasak Mela.	enge adjuste transferense verse tag a tra participat i 1,5% atjuste mente	announg geography gapat namenana anno ay mannoung naganata matan ay mahanan na namenan ny	
>> Environmental, Property a	nd Human Health Harn			
Release	Major Modera	ate Minor		
OR Actual				
Potential	x		Percent 25%	
>>Programmatic Matrix				
Falsification	Major Modera	ate Minor		
T distribution	Wajor Wodera	Ninoi	Percent 0%	
			7 0.00m	
			ed to pollutants which would exceed levels I receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment \$7,500	
and the second s	and the first of the first factor of the company of the second of the se	and the second section is a second section of		
The state of the s				\$2,500
Violation Events				•
VIOIAUOII EVEITS				
Number of Vio	lation Events 3		30 Number of violation days	
. mark only one with an x	daily monthly x quarterly semiannual annual single event		Violation Base Penalty[∵	\$7,500
Three mo			mended from the record review date of 2, 2007 screening date.	
	Gepteniber 12, 2	.oo, to the Octobel 1.	L, 2007 Solecining date.	
Economic Benefit (EB) for thi	s violation		Statutory Limit Test	
Estimated	I EB Amount	\$4,711	Violation Final Penalty Total ॄ	\$7,875
Louinated	/	<u> </u>		
		This violation Fin	al Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	\$7,875

and the state of t	E	conomic	Benefit W	orks	sheet	. *	
Respondent	Joe Macias					•	
Case ID No.	34820						
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN101828002						
	Petroleum Stora	age Tank				Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	1	ū				Percent interest	Depreciation
1.5.44.5.7		State of the state of				5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs	e grand and a		1000				
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)	\$10,000	22-Dec-1998	22-May-2008	9.4	\$0 \$4,711	n/a n/a	\$0 \$4,711
Notes for DELAYED costs						ate Required is the do on the estimated cor	
Avoided Costs	ANNI	JALIZE [1] avoid	ed costs before e	nterina	item (except for	one-time avoided o	osts)
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personne!				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	. \$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$10,000			TOTAL		\$4,711

Screening Date	12-Oct-2007	Docket No	. 2007-1686-PST-E	PCW
Respondent	Joe Macias		Policy F	Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.	34820		PCW I	Revision November 15, 2006
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN101828002			
Media [Statute]	Petroleum Storage Tank			
Enf. Coordinator	_			,
Violation Number				·
Pula Cita(a)		`		
Rule Cite(s)		30 Tex. Admin. Code § 33	4.47(a)(2)	·
Violation Description	upgrade implementation	date, three USTs for which	er than 60 days after the prescribed in any applicable component of the rith the upgrade requirements.	
			Base Penalty	\$10,000
>> Environmental, Property	and Human Health Ma Harm	atrix	The state of the s	
Release		Minor		
OR Actual			•	
Potential	X		Percent 25%	
		on transferror Committee and strength the transferror contract of the committee of the comm	drawd.	
>>Programmatic Matrix				
Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor		
			Percent 0%	
				1
Matrix Human hea	Ith or the environment will	or could be exposed to pol	lutants which would exceed levels	
			ors as a result of the violation.	
				<u>J</u>
			Adjustment \$7,500	1
			Adjustment 97,000	J
				\$2,500
	A proper process on the contract of the second contract of the sec	en la la profession de la contraction profession de la companyation de	armon sagratin arginin 1990 ting saga 1995, ya rayan 142 iliyota saganci yakin wakin kilin inta sa sa	
Violation Events				
		7		
Number of Vi	olation Events 3	30	Number of violation days	
3	l anie	7		
	daily x	4		
mark only one	quarterly	╡	Violation Base Penalty	\$7,500
with an x	semiannual			
	annual	· ·	•	
	single event		•	
				-
Three me	onthly events (one month r	er tank) are recommended	I from the record review date of	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		7 to the October 12, 2007		
Economic Benefit (EB) for th	is violation		Statutory Limit Test	
Fetimata	d EB Amount	\$4,711	Violation Final Penalty Total	\$7,875
Localitate		+ 111 , 11	Transfer and Condity Total	
		This violation Final Asse	ssed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	\$7,875

		COHOIIIC	Benefit W	OIKS	sneet		tito de facilita
Responde	nt Joe Macias					•	
Case ID N	o. 34820						
Reg. Ent. Reference N	o. RN101828002						
	lia Petroleum Stor	age Tank				_	Years of
Violation N		ago raim	A			Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation	0. 1	200	19.00			5.0	•
						Sure terrorismon recommendation and the section of	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
ltem Descripti	on No commas or \$						
Delayed Cos	ts					the state of the beat	
Equipment				0.0	\$0 ~.	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0 .	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0 .	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling		-		0.0	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Remediation/Disposal	II.			0.0		l/	
Permit Costs Other (as needed)	\$10,000	22-Dec-1998	22-May-2008	9.4	\$0 \$4,711	n/a n/a	\$0 \$4,711
Permit Costs	\$10,000 Estimated e	xpense to permane	ently remove the U	0.0 9.4 STs fror	\$0 \$4,711 m service. The Da	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	\$0 \$4,711 ate when the
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	\$10,000 Estimated errespondent w	xpense to permane vas required to upg	ently remove the U rade the USTs and	0.0 9.4 STs from	\$0 \$4,711 n service. The Da al Date is based o	n/a	\$0 \$4,711 ate when the npliance date.
Permit Costs Other (as needed)	\$10,000 Estimated errespondent w	xpense to permane vas required to upg	ently remove the U rade the USTs and	0.0 9.4 STs from	\$0 \$4,711 n service. The Da al Date is based o	n/a n/a nte Required is the d	\$0 \$4,711 ate when the npliance date.
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Cos	\$10,000 Estimated expression of the control of the	xpense to permane vas required to upg	ently remove the U rade the USTs and	0.0 9.4 STs from	\$0 \$4,711 In service. The Datal Date is based of Item (except for	n/a n/a n/a nte Required is the d on the estimated cor one-time avoided c	\$0 \$4,711 ate when the npliance date.
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Cos Disposal	\$10,000 Estimated e. respondent w	xpense to permane vas required to upg	ently remove the U rade the USTs and	0.0 9.4 STs from the Fin ntering	\$0 \$4,711 In service. The Datal Date is based of item (except for all \$0	nte Required is the don the estimated corone-time avoided c	\$0 \$4,711 ate when the npliance date.
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Cost Disposal Personnel	\$10,000 Estimated e. respondent w	xpense to permane vas required to upg	ently remove the U rade the USTs and	0.0 9.4 STs from the Fin ntering 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$4,711 In service. The Datal Date is based of litem (except for a \$0 \$0	nte Required is the don the estimated correctime avoided corrections and so so so so so	\$0 \$4,711 ate when the npliance date.
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs AVOIDED COS Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	\$10,000 Estimated erespondent w	xpense to permane vas required to upg	ently remove the U rade the USTs and	0.0 9.4 STs from the Find th	\$0 \$4,711 In service. The Data ID Date is based of item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	te Required is the don the estimated correctime avoided correctime avoided corrections so	\$0 \$4,711 ate when the npliance date. sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs AVOIDED COST Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	\$10,000 Estimated et respondent wits ANN	xpense to permane vas required to upg	ently remove the U rade the USTs and	0.0 9.4 STs from the Find th	\$0 \$4,711 In service. The Data all Date is based of item (except for a \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	nte Required is the don the estimated conce-time avoided conception on the stimated conception on the stimated conception on the stimated conception on the stimated conception of the	\$0 \$4,711 ate when the inpliance date. sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	\$10,000 Estimated et respondent wits ANN	xpense to permane vas required to upg	ently remove the U rade the USTs and	0.0 9.4 STs from the Find th	\$0 \$4,711 In service. The Data ID Date is based of item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	te Required is the don the estimated correctime avoided correctime avoided corrections so	\$0 \$4,711 ate when the npliance date. sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs AVOIGED COS Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	\$10,000 Estimated et respondent wits ANN	xpense to permane vas required to upg	ently remove the U rade the USTs and	0.0 9.4 STs from the Find th	\$0 \$4,711 In service. The Data all Date is based of item (except for a \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	nte Required is the don the estimated conce-time avoided conception on the stimated conception on the stimated conception on the stimated conception on the stimated conception of the	\$0 \$4,711 ate when the inpliance date. sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN600994230	MACIAS, JOE		Classification: AVERAGE	Rating: 1.33
Regulated Entity:	RN101828002	J & P STATION		Classification: AVERAGE	Site Rating: 1.33
ID Number(s):	PETROLEUM ST REGISTRATION	ORAGE TANK	REGISTRA	TION	47295
Location:		AGERTON, TX, 79548		Rating Date: September 01 07	7 Repeat Violator: N
TCEQ Region:	REGION 03 - ABII	ENE			
Date Compliance History Prepared:	October 17, 2007				
Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:	Enforcement				
Compliance Period:	October 17, 2002	to October 17, 2007			
TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Infor	mation Regarding th	is Compliance History			
Name: Rajesh Acharya	Pho	ne: (512) 239-0577	7		
	Site Co	ompliance History Con	nponents		
1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation	for the full five year	compliance period?	Yes		
2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership	of the site during the	compliance period?	No		
3. If Yes, who is the current owner?			N/A	·	
4. if Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)?			N/A		
5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur?			N/A		•
Components (Multimedia) for the Site :			•		•
A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgme	ents, and consent de	crees of the state of Texas	s and the federal g	jovernment.	-
N/A					
B. Any criminal convictions of the state of	rexas and the federa	al government.			
N/A					
C. Chronic excessive emissions events. N/A		•			
D. The approval dates of investigations. (C	CEDS Inv. Track. No	o.)			
1 11/16/2006 (518064)					
2 04/26/2007 (542088)					
3 10/02/2007 (574246)			•		
E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CC	EDS Inv. Track. No.				
Date: 11/20/2006 (518064)					
Self Report? NO	nton 224 Cub Chante		sification: Moder	ate	
-	pter 334, SubChapte rform the permanent	er C 334.47 (a)(2) removal of a UST that has	s not met upgrade		
requirements Self Report? NO	s. ·	Class	sification: Minor	•	
·	pter 334, SubChapte		sincation. Willion		
Description:		ction to a steel underground	d storage tank sys	stem.	
F. Environmental audits. N/A	•				
G. Type of environmental management sys	stems (EMSs).			•	
H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessme N/A	nt dates.				
Participation in a voluntary pollution red N/A	uction program.				
J. Early compliance.		•			
N/A			,		
Sites Outside of Texas			•		

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
JOE MACIAS;	§	•
RN101828002	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
	§	_

DEFAULT ORDER DOCKET NO. 2007-1686-PST-E

At its	agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality,
("Commission" or "TCEQ") considered the Executive Director's Preliminary Report and Petition
filed pursuant to TEX. WAT	TER CODE chs. 7 and 26 and the rules of the TCEQ, which requests
appropriate relief, including	the imposition of an administrative penalty and corrective action of the
respondent. The respondent	made the subject of this Order is Joe Macias ("Mr. Macias").

The Commission makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Mr. Macias owns a former gasoline station located at 518 Madison, Sagerton, Haskell County, Texas (the "Station").
- 2. Mr. Macias' three underground storage tanks ("USTs") are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission. Mr. Macias' USTs contain a regulated substance as defined in the rules of the Commission.
- 3. During a record review conducted on September 12, 2007, TCEQ Abilene Regional Office investigator documented that Mr. Macias failed to permanently remove from service, no later than 60 days after the prescribed upgrade implementation date, three USTs for which any applicable component of the system is not brought into timely compliance with the upgrade requirements.
- 4. Mr. Macias received notice of the violations on or about October 9, 2007.
- 5. The Executive Director filed the "Executive Director's Preliminary Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement

- Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against and Requiring Certain Actions of Joe Macias" (the "EDPRP") in the TCEQ Chief Clerk's office on February 5, 2008.
- 6. By letter dated February 5, 2008, sent via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid, the Executive Director served Mr. Macias with notice of the EDPRP. According to the return receipt "green card," Mr. Macias received notice of the EDPRP on February 12, 2008, as evidenced by the signature on the card.
- 7. More than 20 days have elapsed since Mr. Macias received notice of the EDPRP, provided by the Executive Director. Mr. Macias failed to file an answer to the EDPRP, failed to request a hearing, and failed to schedule a settlement conference.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. As evidenced by Finding of Fact Nos. 1 and 2, Mr. Macias is subject to the jurisdiction of the TCEQ pursuant to Tex. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26 and the rules of the Commission.
- 2. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 3, Mr. Macias failed to permanently remove from service, no later than 60 days after the prescribed upgrade implementation date, three USTs for which any applicable component of the system is not brought into timely compliance with the upgrade requirements, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.47(a)(2).
- 3. As evidenced by Finding of Fact Nos. 5 and 6, the Executive Director timely served Mr. Macias with proper notice of the EDPRP, as required by Tex. WATER CODE § 7.055 and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.104(a)(2).
- 4. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 7, Mr. Macias failed to file a timely answer to the EDPRP, as required by Tex. Water Code § 7.056 and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.105. Pursuant to Tex. Water Code § 7.057 and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.106, the Commission may enter a Default Order against Mr. Macias and assess the penalty recommended by the Executive Director.
- 5. Pursuant to Tex. Water Code § 7.051, the Commission has the authority to assess an administrative penalty against Mr. Macias for violations of the Texas Water Code within the Commission's jurisdiction, for violations of rules adopted under such statutes, or for violations of orders or permits issued under such statutes.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of seven thousand eight hundred seventy-five dollars (\$7,875.00) is justified by the facts recited in this Order, and considered in light of the factors set forth in Tex. Water Code § 7.053.

7. TEX. WATER CODE §§ 5.102 and 7.002 authorize the Commission to issue orders and make determinations necessary to effectuate the purposes of the statutes within its jurisdiction.

ORDERING PROVISIONS

NOW, THEREFORE, THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ORDERS that:

1. Mr. Macias is assessed an administrative penalty in the amount of seven thousand eight hundred seventy-five dollars (\$7,875.00) for violations of Tex. Water Code ch. 26 and the rules of the TCEQ. The payment of this administrative penalty and Mr. Macias' compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Order completely resolve the matters set forth by this Order in this action. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective actions or penalties for other violations which are not raised here. All checks submitted to pay the penalty imposed by this Order shall be made out to the "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality." The administrative penalty assessed by this Order shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Order and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Joe Macias; Docket No. 2007-1686-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. Mr. Macias shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Order, Mr. Macias shall permanently remove the UST system from service, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.55.
 - b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Order, Mr. Macias shall submit written certification and detailed supporting documentation, including photographs, receipts, and other records, to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2a. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents,

Joe Macias Docket No. 2007-1686-PST-E Page 4

and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Mr. Macias shall submit the written certification and copies of documentation necessary to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2. to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Mike Taylor, Air/Waste Section Manager Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Abilene Regional Office 1977 Industrial Blvd. Abilene, Texas 79602-7833

- 3. All relief not expressly granted in this Order is denied.
- 4. The provisions of this Order shall apply to and be binding upon Mr. Macias. Mr. Macias is ordered to give notice of this Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Station's operations referenced in this Order.
- 5. If Mr. Macias fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Mr. Macias' failure to comply is not a violation of this Order. Mr. Macias shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Mr. Macias shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Mr. Macias becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 6. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Mr. Macias shall be made in writing

Joe Macias Docket No. 2007-1686-PST-E Page 5

to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Mr. Macias receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.

- 7. The Executive Director may refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings without notice to Mr. Macias if the Executive Director determines that Mr. Macias has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Order.
- 8. This Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Order, whichever is later.
- 9. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Order to each of the parties. By law, the effective date of this Order shall be the date the Order is final, as provided by 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.106(d) and Tex. Gov't Code § 2001.144.

Joe Macias Docket No. 2007-1686-PST-E Page 6

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

AFFIDAVIT OF RUDY CALDERON

STATE OF TEXAS

§

COUNTY OF TRAVIS

8

"My name is Rudy Calderon. I am of sound mind, capable of making this affidavit, and the facts stated in this affidavit are within my personal knowledge and are true and correct.

On behalf of the Executive Director of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, the "Executive Director's Preliminary Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against and Requiring Certain Actions of Joe Macias" (the "EDPRP") was filed with the Office of the Chief Clerk on February 5, 2008.

The EDPRP was mailed to Mr. Macias at her last known address on February 5, 2008, via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid. According to the return receipt "green card," Pasadena received notice of the EDPRP on February 12, 2008, as evidenced by the signature on the card.

More than 20 days have elapsed since Mr. Macias received notice of the EDPRP. Mr. Macias failed to file an answer to the EDPRP, failed to request a hearing, and failed to schedule a settlement conference."

Kudy Calderon, Attorney

Office of Legal Services, Litigation Division Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared Rudy Calderon, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that he executed the same for the purposes and consideration herein expressed.

Given under my hand and seal of office this 2 day of 449

Notary Signature

Margaret Jackson
Notary Public
State of Texas
My Commission Expires

OCTOSER 06, 2009